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Abstract—This paper presents a separable least-squares (LS) approach combining the linear LS method with firefly algorithm (FA) to train a Gaussian process (GP) prior model for nonlinear system identification. The hyperparameter vector of the GP prior covariance is searched for by FA, while the system parameter vector of the objective system and the weighting parameter vector of the GP prior mean are estimated by the linear LS method. The nonlinear function of the objective system is estimated as the predictive mean function of the GP, and the confidence measure of the estimated nonlinear function is evaluated by the predictive covariance of the GP. The proposed identification method is applied to modeling of a simplified electric power system on numerical simulation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since most practical systems are continuous-time nonlinear systems, the development of accurate identification algorithm of such systems is a key problem for precise analysis or control design. For this problem, many parametric identification methods have been exploited using neural network model [1], orthogonal least-squares (LS) estimator [2], radial basis function model [3], [4], and so forth. However, these models need many weighting parameters to describe the nonlinearity of the objective systems. Moreover, any confidence measures of the estimated nonlinear functions are not obtained in such approaches.

In recent years, the Gaussian process (GP) model has received much attention for nonlinear system identification [5]–[7]. The GP model was originally utilized for the regression problem by O’Hagan [8] and has been utilized for regression or classification problem [9]–[11]. The GP model is a nonparametric model and fits naturally into Bayesian framework. Since it has fewer parameters than parametric models such as the neural network model, we can describe the nonlinearity of the objective system in a few parameters. Moreover, the GP gives us not only the mean function but also the covariance function. In this paper, we deal with a nonparametric identification of continuous-time nonlinear systems using the GP model.

The GP prior model derived by applying the delayed state variable filter has to be appropriately trained based on the identification data. Generally this training becomes nonlinear optimization problem. In this paper, the separable LS approach combining the linear LS method with firefly algorithm (FA) is proposed to train the GP prior model. The hyperparameter vector of the GP prior covariance is searched for by FA, while the system parameter vector of the objective system and the weighting parameter vector of the GP prior mean are estimated by the linear LS method. FA is an optimization technique inspired by an intelligent behavior of firefly swarms [12]. In FA, for any two flashing fireflies, the less brighter firefly moves toward the brighter one according to the attractiveness. The attractiveness is proportional to the light intensity observed by the partner and monotonically decreases as the distance between two fireflies increases, owing to the inverse square law and the absorption property of light. FA consists of only the basic arithmetic operations and does not require complicated coding and genetic operations such as crossovers and mutations of genetic algorithm (GA). Moreover, the performance and computational cost of FA are shown to be better than those of other population-based algorithms such as GA and particle swarm optimization (PSO) [12], [13]. These advantages suggest that the use of FA increases efficiency when the GP prior model for identification is trained.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, the problem is formulated. In section III, the GP prior model for the identification is derived. In section IV, the separable LS approach using FA is presented for training the GP prior model. In section V, the nonlinear function with the confidence measure is estimated from the GP posterior distribution. In section VI, numerical simulation for a simplified electric power system is carried out to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed identification method. Finally some conclusions are given in...
section VII.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a single-input, single-output, continuous-time nonlinear system described by

$$y(t) = f(x(t))$$

where $u(t)$ and $x(t)$ are the true input and output signals, respectively. $y(t)$ is the noisy output that is corrupted by the measurement noise $e(t)$. $f(\cdot)$ is an unknown nonlinear function, which is assumed to be stationary and smooth. $p$ denotes the differential operator. $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m$ and $m_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, \beta$) are assumed to be known. The purpose of this paper is to identify the parameters $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ of the linear terms and the nonlinear function $f(\cdot)$ with the confidence measure, from the true input and noisy output data in the GP framework.

III. GP PRIOR MODEL FOR IDENTIFICATION

Equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$p^n y(t) = f(w(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i p^{n-i} y(t)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j p^{m-j} u(t) + \varepsilon(t)$$

where $w(t)$ is the input vector of the function $f$, $m(w)$ is the mean function vector, and $\Sigma(w, w)$ is the covariance matrix. The mean function is often represented by a polynomial regression [11]. In this paper, the mean function is expressed by the first order polynomial, i.e., a linear combination of the input variable:

$$m(w(t)) = \langle w(t) \rangle^T \theta_m$$

where $\theta_m$ is the unknown parameter vector for the mean function. Thus, the mean function vector $m(w)$ is described as follows:

$$m(w) = w^T \theta_m$$

The covariance $\Sigma_{pq} = s(w(t_p), w(t_q))$ is an element of the covariance matrix $\Sigma$, which is a function of $w(t_p)$ and $w(t_q)$. Under the assumption that the nonlinear function is stationary and smooth, the following Gaussian kernel is utilized in this paper:

$$\Sigma_{pq} = s(w(t_p), w(t_q))$$

where $\Sigma_{pq} = \sigma_y^2 \exp \left( - \frac{||w(t_p) - w(t_q)||^2}{2\ell^2} \right)$

where $||\cdot||$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Equation (10) means that the covariance of the outputs of the nonlinear function depends only on the distance between the inputs $w(t_p)$ and $w(t_q)$. A high correlation between the outputs of the nonlinear function occurs for the inputs that are close to each other. The overall variance of the random function can be controlled by $\sigma_y^2$, and the characteristic length scale of the process can be changed by $\ell$. 

where

$$y = [p^n y(t_1), p^n y(t_2), \ldots, p^n y(t_N)]^T$$

$$v = [f(w(t_1)) + \varepsilon(t_1), f(w(t_2)) + \varepsilon(t_2), \ldots, f(w(t_N)) + \varepsilon(t_N)]^T$$

$$\theta_l = [a_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, a_n, b_0, \ldots, b_j, \ldots, b_m]^T$$

$$G = [g(t_1), g(t_2), \ldots, g(t_N)]^T$$

$$g(t) = [-p^{n-1} y(t), \ldots, -p^{n-1} y(t), \ldots, -y(t), p^n u(t), \ldots, p^n u(t), \ldots, u(t)]^T$$

$$G$$

is a Gaussian random function and is completely described by its mean function and covariance function. We can regard it as a collection of random variables with a joint multivariable Gaussian distribution. Therefore, the function values $f$ can be represented by the GP:

$$f \sim N(m(w), \Sigma(w, w))$$

where

$$f = [f(w(t_1)), f(w(t_2)), \ldots, f(w(t_N))]^T$$

$$m(w)$$

is the input of the function $f$, and $\Sigma(w, w)$ is the covariance matrix. The mean function is often represented by a polynomial regression [11]. In this paper, the mean function is expressed by the first order polynomial, i.e., a linear combination of the input variable:

$$m(w(t)) = \langle w(t) \rangle^T \theta_m$$

where $\theta_m$ is the unknown parameter vector for the mean function. Thus, the mean function vector $m(w)$ is described as follows:

$$m(w) = w^T \theta_m$$

The covariance $\Sigma_{pq} = s(w(t_p), w(t_q))$ is an element of the covariance matrix $\Sigma$, which is a function of $w(t_p)$ and $w(t_q)$. Under the assumption that the nonlinear function is stationary and smooth, the following Gaussian kernel is utilized in this paper:

$$\Sigma_{pq} = s(w(t_p), w(t_q))$$

where $\Sigma_{pq} = \sigma_y^2 \exp \left( - \frac{||w(t_p) - w(t_q)||^2}{2\ell^2} \right)$

where $||\cdot||$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Equation (10) means that the covariance of the outputs of the nonlinear function depends only on the distance between the inputs $w(t_p)$ and $w(t_q)$. A high correlation between the outputs of the nonlinear function occurs for the inputs that are close to each other. The overall variance of the random function can be controlled by $\sigma_y^2$, and the characteristic length scale of the process can be changed by $\ell$. 

$$y = v + G \theta_l$$

(4)
From (6), the vector \( v \) of the noisy function values in (4) can be written as
\[
v \sim N(m(w), K(w, w))
\]
(11)
where
\[
K(w, w) = \Sigma(w, w) + \sigma_n^2 I_N
\]
(12)
and \( \theta_c = [\sigma_y, \ell, \sigma_n]^T \) is called the hyperparameter vector. From (4) and (11), the GP model for the identification is derived as
\[
y \sim N(m(w) + G\theta_c, K(w, w))
\]
(13)
In the following, \( K(w, w) \) is written as \( K \) for simplicity.

**IV. SEPARABLE LS APPROACH BY FA**

At the first stage of the identification, the GP prior model is trained by optimizing the unknown parameter vector \( \theta = [\theta_m^T, \theta_f^T, \theta_c^T]^T \). This training is carried out by maximizing the log marginal likelihood of the identification data:
\[
J = \log p(y|w, G, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |K| - \frac{1}{2} (y - Z\theta_{ml})^T K^{-1} (y - Z\theta_{ml}) - \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi)
\]
(14)
where
\[
Z = [w^T \vdots G ]
\]
(15)
\[
\theta_{ml} = [\theta_m^T, \theta_f^T]^T
\]
(16)
Note that if the candidates of the hyperparameter vector \( \theta_c \) of the covariance function are given, the candidates of the covariance matrix \( K \) can be constructed. Therefore, the parameter vector \( \theta_{ml} \) can be estimated by the linear LS method from (16):
\[
\theta_{ml} = (Z^T K^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T K^{-1} y
\]
(17)
However, even if the parameter vector \( \theta_{ml} \) is known, the optimization with respect to \( \theta_c \) is a complicated nonlinear problem and might suffer from the local optima problem. Therefore, in this paper, we propose the separable LS approach combining the linear LS method with FA. Only \( X = \theta_c = [\sigma_y, \ell, \sigma_n]^T \) is represented with the positions of fireflies in the search space and is searched for by FA. The detailed training algorithm is as follows:

**step 1: Initialization**

Generate an initial population of \( Q \) fireflies with random positions \( X_{[i]} \) \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, Q) \). Set the iteration counter \( l = 0 \).

**step 2: Construction of the covariance matrix**

Construct \( Q \) candidates of the covariance matrix \( K_{[i]} \) using \( X_{[i]} \) \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, Q) \).

**step 3: Estimation of \( \theta_{ml} \)**

Estimate \( Q \) candidates of \( \theta_{ml[i]} \) \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, Q) \):
\[
\theta_{ml[i]} = (Z^T K_{[i]}^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T K_{[i]}^{-1} y
\]
(18)

**step 4: Light intensity calculation**

Calculate the light intensity \( I_i \) of each firefly from the log marginal likelihood of the identification data:
\[
I_i(X_{[i]}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |K_{[i]}| - \frac{1}{2} (y - Z\theta_{ml[i]})^T K_{[i]}^{-1} (y - Z\theta_{ml[i]}) - \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi)
\]
(19)

**step 5: Sorting of the fireflies**

Sort the fireflies in ascending order of their light intensities and find the current best position:
\[
X_{[i]}^l = X_{[Q]}
\]
(20)

**step 6: Movement of the fireflies**

If \( I_i(X_{[i]}) < I_j(X_{[j]}) \), move a firefly \( i \) at position \( X_{[i]} \) toward a brighter firefly \( j \) at position \( X_{[j]} \) by
\[
X_{[i]} = X_{[j]} + \beta_0 \exp(-\gamma r_{ij}^2)(X_{[j]} - X_{[i]}) + \alpha l \cdot \text{rand}()
\]
(21)
where \( r_{ij} \) is the Euclidean distance between \( X_{[i]} \) and \( X_{[j]} \), \( \beta_0 \) is the attractiveness at \( r_{ij} = 0 \), \( \gamma \) is the media absorption coefficient, \( \alpha_1 \) is the randomization parameter, and \( \text{rand}() \) is uniformly distributed random number with amplitude in the range \([-0.5, 0.5]\). \( \beta = \beta_0 \exp(-\gamma r_{ij}^2) \) is the attractiveness between the fireflies \( i \) and \( j \).

**step 7: Repetition**

Set the iteration counter to \( l = l + 1 \) and go to step 2 until the prespecified iteration number \( l_{max} \).

**step 8: Determination of the GP prior model**

Determine the vector \( \sigma = \theta_c = [\sigma_y, \ell, \sigma_n]^T \) and the corresponding parameter vector \( \theta_{ml} = [\theta_m^T, \theta_f^T]^T \) using the best position \( X_{[i]}^l \) of firefly. Construct the suboptimal prior mean function and prior covariance function:
\[
m(w(t)) = (w(t))^T \theta_m
\]
(22)
\[
s(w(t_p), w(t_q)) = \sigma_y^2 \exp\left(-\frac{||w(t_p) - w(t_q)||^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right)
\]
\[
k(w(t_p), w(t_q)) = s(w(t_p), w(t_q)) + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{pq}
\]
(23)
where \( s(w(t_p), w(t_q)) \) is an element of covariance matrix \( \Sigma \), \( k(w(t_p), w(t_q)) \) is an element of covariance matrix \( K \), and \( \delta_{pq} \) is the Kronecker delta, which is 1 if \( p = q \) and 0 otherwise.
V. ESTIMATION OF THE NONLINEAR FUNCTION

For a new input \( w_i^l(t) \) and the corresponding function \( f(w_i^l(t)) \), we have the following joint Gaussian distribution:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{y} \\
\hat{f}(w_i^l(t))
\end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{m}(w) + G\theta_i \\
\hat{m}(w_i^l(t))
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
K & \Sigma(w_i^l(t), w) \\
\Sigma(w_i^l(t), w), & S(w_i^l(t), w)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(24)

From the formula for conditioning a joint Gaussian distribution [14], the posterior distribution for specific test data is

\[
f(w_i^l(t))|w, \mathbf{G}, y, w_i^l(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{f}(w_i^l(t)), \sigma^2(t))
\]

(25)

where the mean function \( \hat{f} \) is given as

\[
\hat{f}(w_i^l(t)) = m(w_i^l(t)) + \Sigma(w_i^l(t), w)K^{-1}(y - m(w) - G\theta_i)
\]

(26)

which is used as the estimated nonlinear function of the objective system. And its covariance \( \sigma^2(t) \) is evaluated as

\[
\sigma^2(t) = S(w_i^l(t), w) - \Sigma(w_i^l(t), w)K^{-1}\Sigma(w, w_i^l(t))
\]

(27)

which is used for the confidence measure of the estimated nonlinear function.

VI. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

Consider an electric power system [15] described by

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) + a_1x(t) &= f(z(t)) \\
f(z(t)) &= -\frac{P_e}{\bar{M}} + \frac{P_{in}}{\bar{M}} \\
&= -\frac{P_{em}}{\bar{M}} (1 + u(t)) \sin x(t) + \frac{P_{in}}{\bar{M}} \\
z(t) &= [x(t), u(t)]^T \\
y(t) &= x(t) + e(t)
\end{aligned}
\]

(28)

where \( x(t) = \delta(t) \): phase angle, \( u(t) = \Delta E_{f_d}(t) \): increment of excitation voltage, \( \bar{M} \): inertia coefficient, \( \bar{D} \): damping coefficient, \( P_e \): generator output power, \( P_{in} \): turbine output
Butterworth filter with the cutoff frequency noise \( N_1 \) term is \( \hat{a} \).

Utilized as a delayed state variable filter. The setting parameters for the proposed method and \( 242 \) for PSOGP method. The computational time of the training is \( P \approx 0.5 \) of FA are chosen as follows:

(i) firefly size: \( Q = 50 \)
(ii) attractiveness at \( r_{ij} = 0 \): \( \beta_0 = 1.0 \)
(iii) media absorption coefficient: \( \gamma = 1.0 \)
(iv) randomization parameter: \( \alpha_1 = 1.0 \times (0.97)^t \)
(v) maximum iteration number: \( l_{\text{max}} = 100 \)

The hyperparameter vector of the covariance function has been determined by FA as \( \Theta_c = [\sigma_y, \hat{l}, \hat{a}_n]^T = [45.875, 0.343, 0.181]^T \). Estimate of the parameter in the linear term is \( \hat{a}_1 = 0.962 \), which is very close to the true value \( a_1 = 1.0 \). The true nonlinear function \( f(z(t)) \), the estimated nonlinear function \( \hat{f}(z(t)) \), the absolute error between \( f(z(t)) \) and \( \hat{f}(z(t)) \), and the double standard deviation confidence interval (95.5% confidence region) around the estimated nonlinear function are shown in Figs. 1–4, respectively, where the thick curves depict the trajectories of the identification data.

Clearly the estimated nonlinear function \( \hat{f}(z(t)) \) is shown to be very close to the true nonlinear function \( f(z(t)) \) on the data region. The confidence region of the estimated nonlinear function grows as \( z(t) \) goes away from the data region. On the other hand, the confidence region of the estimated nonlinear function is very small on the data region. Fig. 5 shows the true output \( z(t) \) and the output \( \hat{x}(t) \) by the estimated model, where the outputs were generated by the inputs for validation. This figure indicates that \( \hat{x}(t) \) matches \( x(t) \) considerably.

For comparison, the PSO-based GP (PSOGP) method \[7\] has been carried out for this identification problem. The mean squares error of the output \( \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{N} (x(t_k) - \hat{x}(t_k))^2} / N \) is \( 2.651 \times 10^{-4} \) for the proposed method and \( 2.757 \times 10^{-4} \) for PSOGP method. The computational time of the training is \( 242.7 \) (s) for the proposed method and \( 303.4 \) (s) for PSOGP.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed a separable LS approach combining the linear LS method with FA to train a GP prior model for nonlinear system identification. The GP prior model is trained by maximizing the log marginal likelihood of the identification data. The proposed identification method is categorized into the nonparametric identification and does not need the determination of the model structure. Since FA is simple and has a high potential for global optimization, the proposed training algorithm makes system identification be more efficient. Simulation results show that the proposed method can be successfully applied to modeling of the electric power system.
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