Improvement the Performance of Hydraulic Proportional Control Valve
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Abstract—Hydraulic control system utilizes proportional valve to make a précises operations. Proportional directional control valves work as flow control and direction, but the flow control operate on two ways in and out. This paper proposed new modification to make the proportional valve working as flow control in one way only, either meter in or meter out. To prove that the suggestion arrange is proposed. This arrange is simulated. As a results the improvement of proportional is done. The outcome of this work is to consider new type of valve may designed to do better performance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

DIRECTLY operated 4/3 proportional directional control valves are available in nominal sizes 6 and 10 as shown in figure 1. To adjust the oil flow rate, a setpoint is set in the valve electronics. Based on the polarity and magnitude of this setpoint, the electronics control the solenoid coil “a” or “b” with the appropriate amount of magnetic force. The proportional solenoid converts the current to a mechanical force, with which an armature plunger acts on a spool to push against the spring. If the magnetic force and the spring force are the same, this produces a spool position in conformity with the spring characteristic curve. If the drop in pressure is minimal (< 30 bar) the throttling function takes effect, if the pressure drop is greater, the operating limits must be observed.

The pressure drop at the valve is reliably limited by the use of an external pressure compensator with shuttle valve. All proportional valve spools can meter fluid in and out.[1-2]. Many researches study about proportional control valve and simulation the systems such as V. Stanislav and K. Jiri [3], L. Marti and V. Marti [4], N. Chen [5], I. M. Lee at al [6] and W. Kim, D. Won and C. C. Chung [7]. This work is very important to solve some problems in proportional and to improve the performance. In this work proposal of improvement of performance was presented.

II. THEORY BACKGROUND

The following discussion relates to two main types of proportional valves: those with spool area ratios of 2:1 and 1:1 and their effects on overrunning loads and resistive loads. From this in formation an accurate analogy can be made to select the proper valve for the application. This is the final design step when considering proportional valve, regardless whether the natural frequency was calculated or estimated. The following step-by-step calculations show how the equations were derived. These equations, are tabulated at the end of this chapter for easy reference. Figure 2 shows basic circuit of proportional directional valve with actuator (hydraulic cylinder).

A. Overrunning Loads

Systems requiring cylinders with 2:1 area ratios should also be equipped with valves that have 2:1 area ratio spools. It was mentioned that a 2:1 area ratio spool is machined to provide half the now area on one land as compared to the other. To further clarify this point, let us view mathematically why a valve with a spool with a 2:1 area ratio should be used with a cylinder which has a 2:1 area ratio.

All proportional valve spools can meter fluid in and out. Because of this orifice function, the equation for flow through
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an orifice applies: [2]

\[ Q = C A \sqrt{\Delta P} \]  

(1)

\( Q \) = flow across the orifice, L/min  
\( C \) = discharge coefficient  
\( a \) = area of orifice, mm²  
\( \Delta P \) = pressure drop across the orifice, bar

At first glance, it may seem that extensive calculations would be needed to determine the pressure drop across orifices \( \Delta P_1 \) and \( \Delta P_2 \) Figure 3. However, considering the load conditions of the system, these calculations actually become quite simple.

**Fig 3 Basic Circuit for Calculating Pressure Drops With Overrunning Type Loads**

The first condition that can be satisfied is the orifice equation. This will first be done for a 2:1 area ratio spool, then for a 1:1 area ratio spool to show the adverse effects they cause when used with 2:1 area ratio cylinders.

For a 2:1 area ratio cylinder controlled by a valve with a 2:1 area ratio spool, Figure 2.

**Fig 2 The Orifice Analysis**

\[ Q_1 = 2 Q_2 \]  
\[ a_1 = 2 a_2 \]

Since there are two orifices:

\[ Q_1 = C a_1 \sqrt{\Delta P_1} \]  
\[ Q_2 = C a_2 \sqrt{\Delta P_2} \]

Setting these equations equal to each other:

\[ a_2 = a_1 / 2 \]

Therefore,

\[ Q_1 / 2 Q_2 = \sqrt{\Delta P_2} / \sqrt{\Delta P_1} \]

Thus,

\[ \Delta P_2 \approx \Delta P_1 \]

The pressure drop will be fairly equal on both sides of the valve, providing good controllability for 2:1 area ratio cylinders. However, for cylinders with 2:1 area ratios controlled by valves with 1:1 area.

\[ a_2 = (Q_2 / \sqrt{\Delta P_2}) \]  
\[ a_1 = (Q_1 / \sqrt{\Delta P_1}) \]

Thus,

\[ Q_2 / \sqrt{\Delta P_2} = Q_1 / \sqrt{\Delta P_1} \]

\[ 4\Delta P_2 = \Delta P_1 \]

When using a 2:1 area ratio cylinder with a 1:1 area ratio spool, \( \Delta P_1 \), is four times greater than \( \Delta P_2 \). This can cause substantial problems if the required backpressure on the head end of the cylinder must exceed 1/4 system pressure. A vacuum can be created because the cap end of the cylinder will not completely fill with oil. To study this condition in more detail, let’s consider a situation with a 400 kgf overrunning load, a 1:1 area ratio spool, and a 2:1 area ratio cylinder, as shown in Figure 4. This relates directly to the method used to determine the pressure drop across the valve.

**Fig 4 Overrunning System**

By summing forces, we solve for \( P_3 \)

\[ P_3 = (P_2 A_1 + F) / A_2 \]

Then can determine:

\[ \Delta P_1 = P_p - P_2 \]  
\[ \Delta P_2 = P_3 - P_4 \] ; assuming that \( P_4 = 0 \),  
\[ \Delta P_2 = P_3 \]

Determined previously for 1:1 area ratio spools. Squaring both sides:

\[ Q_1 / Q_2 = \sqrt{\Delta P_1} / \sqrt{\Delta P_2} \]  
\[ (Q_1 / Q_2)^2 = (\sqrt{\Delta P_1})^2 / (\sqrt{\Delta P_2})^2 \]
\[
\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_2^2} = \frac{\Delta P_1}{\Delta P_2}
\]

\[
\Delta P_2 = \left[\frac{Q_2}{Q_1}\right]^2 \cdot \Delta P_1
\]

Substituting:
\[
P_3 = \left[\frac{Q_2}{Q_1}\right]^2 \cdot \left( P_p - P_2 \right) / \left( A_1 + F \right) / A_2
\]

Solving for \( P_2 \):
\[
P_2 = \left[ \frac{P_p \left( Q_2 / Q_1 \right)^2 - \left( F / A_2 \right)}{\left( A_1 + A_2 \right) + \left( Q_2 / Q_1 \right)^2} \right]
\]

By applying the parameters \( F = 400 \text{ kgf}, P_p = \text{ pump pressure} = 100 \text{ bar}, A_1 = 20 \text{ cm}^2, A_2 = 10 \text{ cm}^2 \) and \( Q = 110 \text{ L/min} \):
\[
P_2 = -6.66 \text{ bar}
\]

When \( P_2 \) is less than zero psi, a vacuum is created.
\[
\Delta P_1 = P_p - P_2
\]
\[
= 100 \text{ bar} - (-6.66 \text{ bar}) = 106.66 \text{ bar}
\]

Since 100 bar is max. \( \Delta P_1 \):
\[
\Delta P_2 = \Delta P_1 / 4 = 100 / 4 = 25 \text{ bar}
\]

A pressure drop of 25 bar across area \( A_2 \), is not enough. In other words, a smaller orifice is needed to create enough backpressure on the head end of the cylinder to keep the load from overrunning and causing a vacuum.

Let now consider the same condition with a 2:1 area ratio spool.

From the orifice calculation completed previously for a 2:1 area ratio:
\[
2Q_2 / \sqrt{\Delta P_2} = Q_1 / \sqrt{\Delta P_1}
\]

Thus,
\[
\Delta P_1 / \Delta P_2 = \left( 2Q_2 \right)^2 / \left( Q_1 \right)^2
\]
\[
\Delta P_2 = \left( 2Q_2 \right)^2 \cdot \Delta P_1 / \left( Q_1 \right)^2
\]

Substituting:
\[
P_3 = \left[ \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \left( P_p - P_2 \right) / \left( Q_1 \right)^2 \right]
\]

Substituting again:
\[
\left[ \left( P_p - P_2 \right) \left( 2Q_2 \right)^2 / \left( Q_1 \right)^2 \right] = \left[ \left( P_2 \cdot A_1 \right) + F \right] / A_2
\]

Thus,
\[
P_2 = \left[ \frac{P_p \left( 2Q_2 \right)^2 / \left( Q_1 \right)^2 - \left( F / A_2 \right)}{\left( A_1 + A_2 \right) + \left( 2Q_2 / Q_1 \right)^2} \right]
\]
\[
= 26.6 \text{ bar}
\]

This cylinder will not draw a vacuum. Therefore,
\[
\Delta P_1 = P_1 - P_2 = 100 \text{ bar} - 26.6 \text{ bar} = 73.4 \text{ bar}
\]

Since \( \Delta P_2 = P_3 \), and \( P_3 \) is known.

\[
P_3 = 93.2 \text{ bar}
\]
\[
\Delta P_3 = 137 \text{ bar}
\]
\[
\Delta P_1 = 230.2 \text{ bar}
\]

The valve could now keep the load from overrunning and the system from pulling a vacuum. However, with a total pressure drop across the valve of 230.2 bar, spool stroke would still have to be limited considerably. (Refer to the performance curves for the valve.) At 70% control current, the total pressure drop across the valve is 100 bar, Figure 5; the calculated pressure drop was 230.2 bar. This means that to obtain the 113.4 L/min flow required at a total pressure drop of 230.2 bar, control current would have to be limited more than 70%. Because a small orifice is required at this considerably high pressure differential, very little of the spool stroke would be used.

In addition, the performance of the valve at this high pressure differential will be poorer than in the range of 100 bar and below at the required flow rate. The load should be counterbalanced.

**B. Resistive Loads**

Now that the conditions for 1:1 and 2:1 area ratio valve spools have been satisfied for overrunning loads, let us consider how 1:1 and 2:1 area ratio valve affect a resistive load, Figure 6.
By summing forces, we can solve for \( P_2 \):
\[
P_2 = \frac{[(P_3 \cdot A_2) + F]}{A_1}
\]
For a 1:1 area ratio valve:
\[
\Delta P_1 = \left(\frac{Q_1}{Q_2}\right)^2 \cdot \Delta P_2
\]
\[
\Delta P_1 = P_p - P; \Delta P_2 = P_3 - P_4 \text{ assuming that } P_4 = 0,
\]
Substituting:
\[
P_p - P_2 = \left(\frac{Q_1}{Q_2}\right)^2 \cdot P^3
\]
\[
P_p - \left(\frac{Q_1}{Q_2}\right)^2 \cdot P_3 = \left[\frac{F + (P_3 \cdot A_2)}{A_1}\right]
\]
Thus,
\[
P_3 = \frac{P_p - (F/A_1)}{\left(\frac{Q_1}{Q_2}\right)^2 + \left(A_2/A_1\right)}
\]
For 2:1 area ratio valve resistive load:
\[
P_3 = \frac{P_p - (F/A_1)}{\left[\left(Q_1/2 \cdot Q_2\right)^2 + \left(A_2/A_1\right)\right]}
\]
Using the same parameters as before for a 2:1 area ratio valve with a resistive load:
\[
P_3 = 80.5 \text{ bar}
\]
But, since \( \Delta P_2 = P_3 = 80.5 \text{ bar} \), then
\[
P_2 = \frac{[(P_3 \cdot A_2) + F]}{A_1} = 60.25 \text{ bar}
\]
Therefore,
\[
\Delta P_1 = P_p - P_2 = 100 - 60.25 = 39.75 \text{ bar}
\]
\[
\Delta P_2 = \Delta P_1 + \Delta P_2 = 39.75 + 80.5 = 120.25 \text{ bar}
\]
The total pressure drop across the valve is 120.25 bar. To obtain this pressure drop and use the maximum possible spool stroke, the required spool would have to be selected from the operating curves.

However, a 2:1 area ratio spool is available only at its highest nominal now rating for each valve size; therefore, in some cases, it may not be possible to use full spool stroke. This is especially true in the case of 2:1 area ratio cylinders and 2:1 area ratio spools.

**C. Meter In And Meter Out Of Hydraulic Actuators**

A meter-in circuit is ideal in applications where a load always offers a positive resistance (resistive load) to flow during a controlled stroke as shown in figure 7.
\[
P_1 = P_3 \cdot A_2 / A_1 + F / A_1
\]
\[
P_p > P_1
\]
This type of circuit is ideal for overhauling (overrunning) load applications in which a workload tends to pull an operating piston faster than a pump's delivery would warrant as shown in figure 8.
\[
P_3 = \frac{P_p \cdot A_1}{A_2} + F / A_2
\]
\[
P_3 > P_4
\]

**III. THE IMPROVEMENT**

As previous, proportional directional control valve is working as meter in and meter out in the same time. This operation causes high pressure drop across the valve. The better working is either meter in or meter out depend on the application. To modify the proportional directional control valve, proposed new arrange to make the valve operate either meter in or meter out. The modification is add two 3/2 pilot operated directional control valve. The meter in arrange is shown in figure 9 and meter out is shown in figure 10. The 3/2 pilot operated directional allows the flow go directly to tank when it used as meter in while proportional directional control valve controls the pressure line that is going to actuator. The pressure line can be controlled through the 3/2 pilot operated directional which allows the flow go directly to actuator when it used as meter in while proportional directional control valve controls the pressure line that is going to tank.[9-10]
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As the result, four simulations test were done using simulation software. Two of them were done before modification one for overrunning load and the other for resistive load. The two other simulation is after medication also one for overrunning load and the other for resistive load.

By applying the parameters $F = 400$ kgf, $P_p =$ pump pressure $= 100$ bar, $A_1 = 20$ cm$^2$, $A_2 = 10$ cm$^2$ and $Q = 110$ L/min.

Figures 11 and 12 shows the relation of pressure with stroke of actuator for resistive load. Figure 11 shows the pressure at piston side about 28 bar, sometime vacuum is occur. This pressure is after valve. The pressure drop will be 72 bar. Figure 12 shows the pressure at rod side is 20 bar that mean the total pressure drop across the valve will be 92 bar.

Figures 13 and 14 shows the relation of pressure with stroke of actuator for overrunning load. Figure 13 shows the pressure at piston side about 12.5 bar, sometime vacuum is occur. This pressure is after valve. The pressure drop will be 87.5 bar. Figure 14 shows the pressure at rod side is 25 bar that mean the total pressure drop across the valve will be 112.5 bar.

---

**Fig 9 Modification as Meter in**

**Fig 10 Modification as Meter out only**

**Fig 11 Piston Side Pressure for Resistive Load before Modification**

**Fig 12 Rod Side Pressure For Resistive Load before Modification**

**Fig 13 Piston Side Pressure for Overrunning Load before Modification**

**Fig 14 Rod Side Pressure For Overrunning Load before Modification**
Figures 15 and 16 shows the relation of pressure with stroke of actuator under meter in application (Resistive load). Figure 15 shows the pressure at piston side about 20 bar, that mean the total pressure drop across the valve just only 20 bar. Figure 16 shows the pressure at rod side is 0.3 bar.

Figures 17 and 18 shows the relation of pressure with stroke of actuator under meter out application (overrunning load). Figure 17 shows the pressure at piston side about 98 bar. This pressure after valve. The pressure drop will be 2 bar this is total pressure drop across the valve. High pressure means no vacuum occur. Figure 16 shows the pressure at rod side is 140 bar, that mean the load will be still hold no overrunning occur and no need to smaller orifice.

V. CONCLUSION

As a conclusion the new arrange is a chive the requirement. The performance is improved and will make the better working of proportional directional control valve depend on what type of applications. This work can be made an innovation to redesign new valve.
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